

**Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

**Toxic equality**

**Or**

**Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

Craig Wright, PhD LLM

University of Southern Queensland

## **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

### **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

There are many false ideas about the idea of capitalism. Even the term capitalism itself was derived as an insult (Wallerstein 2011), and copious attempts at strawman arguments have been developed to misinform and deceive people as to what the nature of what capitalism is. Very simply, capitalism is a system that allows for the private ownership of property. Capitalism is a system that permits individuals to own and develop capital. Importantly, this includes human capital. Those who oppose capitalism primarily do so using claims of equality. In doing this, they bandy the word equality around without explanation. The communist intentionally confounds equality of opportunity with equality of outcome. Both terms use the word equality, and yet each is diametrically opposite in meaning. It is impossible to deliver equality of outcome if equality of opportunity is sought. Equality of outcome is a system that achieves equality through the removal of the best that a population can offer. Some people will always be better at a vocation or will earn more than another. Some people will always be smarter than others, some people work harder than others, and some people will remain lazy.

Capitalism is a system that operates in the material self-interest of all individuals in society, including non-capitalists (Smith 1776). In any meritocracy, those who believe that they should be better and who should achieve more will remain envious of those who through luck and good fortune and hard work achieve what others cannot; and this is the real heart of both socialism and communism; a system that allows the jealous and the envious to piously act as they plot against the good fortune of others. Communism is a system that facilitates a few to cause discontent through claims that women should be being

## **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

paid more money even where it has been definitively demonstrated where women earn more than men with the same level of experience and skills (Sowell 2012). Communism is a system that allows manipulative demagogues to use the envy and jealousy of others in their deceptions to destroy the creation of capital; not to build something better, but to stop somebody else in accomplishing.

Franfurt (1986) assembled a modern philosophical concept that is perhaps one of the most critical advances in philosophy and the twentieth century. Cohen (2013) extends the conception of bullshit further in a failed vindication of communism and Marxist thought. Yet, Marxist thought remains pure bullshit. Communism is merely an abortive ideology that relies on the envy and jealousy of others who will forgive the false logic, and nebulous definitions have been used to baffle people. Even those intellectuals are frequently “inclined to put the blame for finding the [material] hard entirely on [themselves]” (Cohen 2013).

Poverty is not the cause of communism. Communism results because of the combination of envy and greed that derives with a few people who perceive the success of others and want it. They do not care that they have far more than their parents, or their grandparents, or even royalty many centuries ago; it is discontent intellectuals who seek more than those they see who have more than them. For this, some literati deceptively promote communism and tell us how it will cure inequity and bring equality. Yet they fail to mention that it is equality of outcome. People are not a Tableau Rossa, people are not equal in ability, we are not equal in integrity, and we are not equal in intelligence. To

## **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

merely say that we are is to demonstrate one's falsehood for nobody could genuinely believe this if honestly expounded.

Cohen develops Frankfurt's philosophy to demonstrate that bullshit must fulfil a set of requirements. To be bullshit it must be (a) unclarifiably unclear, (b) rubbish, or (c) irretrievably speculative. From Lenin's (1939) reworking of the term imperialism up until contemporary issues with the woke culture, we see the introduction of Orwellian doublespeak (Orwell 1971) in the continuous defence of a system that has no solution other than blame and envy. Communism has failed in that it does not provide any economic guidance, and it is in all ways, bullshit. It is a system of hubris that is embraced by ignorant technocrats and unsuccessful literati who imagine that they are smart enough to embrace and apprehend the entirety of inputs and outputs that arise within a market economy. Yet, no socialist regime has ever successfully simulated even one insignificant facet of an economy. Nations try continuously failed experiments and merely blame other individuals and other countries for their failure. One hundred years ago, we saw the literati propping up the falsehoods and bullshit that was propagated by mass murderers including Stalin, Lenin and Mao as they argued for the benefits of a centrally planned economy. We see the same classes of envy propelled people attempting to teach failed Marxist dogma today.

We have been told that history is at an end (Fukuyama 1995); which is the self-same utopian promise that Marx and Engels promoted in their socialist utopia (Williams 2000). This system, as empowered, expresses a concept of freedom where the workingman raises to attain equality for all is a mere power-play. It is not power to the workingman, nor is the workingman even particularly interested (Lipset 1959). When they genuinely analyse

## **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

their position, very few even of the poorest people are anything but capitalist. The arguments that erroneously promote the institution of capitalism as serving only a handful of rugged exploiters and monopolists and which operate against the majority failed to understand that even the most impoverished people want property rights. In capitalism, we have the only known and verified system that ensures people receive property rights. The strawman argument that is frequently used to attack capitalism is corruption. Yet, this argument fails when you understand that property rights preclude government action and corrupt officials from acting to take the property of even the poor.

In this, we see the University bigots who promote these failed ideas telling us that they are about equality yet failing to inform us that they pursue equality of outcome. They do not tell us that we will have an opportunity to achieve because we will not succeed in their system. It is a lesson in envy and hate, which falsely informs us that those with property; those who have achieved and those who have risen in Society have only done so through exploitation. As Phillips demonstrates repeatedly (Phillips 2020a; 2020b) the teaching of false ideas leads to an equitable outcome, which is the heart of communism. It is only through the dissemination of misleading information that we can genuinely mislead the youth of Society and have them believe in this inconsistent creed.

At a cursory glance, it would be possible to say that these issues remain independent of each other and do not relate to communism. How does woke culture reflect Marxist socialism? In typical collectivist form, the Marxist idea of freedom is freedom for an elite that knows better and believes that they can reign. Lenin himself characterised communist equality. In communism, the demagogue merely manipulates others using envy and desire.

## **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

The inadequate leader and the failed intellectual are not adequate when exposed to competition through a meritocracy, so they cheat. They do not compete in the system; they mislead.

Marx (1946) built his concept of communism on philosophical bullshit where the “the cost of production of a workman is restricted, almost entirely, to the means of subsistence that he requires for maintenance, and the propagation of his race”. This manipulation of truth is what we now see taught in many universities (Phillips 2020a). It is what is being promoted as being politically correct when, in fact, it is a racist system of control designed to separate and promote nihilism. History has not ended, and the propaganda promoted by Marx, and the manner of promoting doublespeak in constructing an equal society continues – “but only so long as you shuffle along obediently to the beat of their dreary, robotic drum” (Phillips, 2020a).

The Communist world is dreary. When you seek equality of outcome, and you take away the rights of one person to own what they produce by deciding what happens with what another person manufactures: the productive stop creating. When you limit Society to equality of outcome, as communism seeks to achieve, you never achieve greatness. What is established as a substitute is a society established by the efforts of the lowest common denominator; a system that is limited to the lowest and the basest of humanity. Communism is a system with controlled art, a system where nobody can compete, for if they do, they may win. But if they win, it is all taken away from them. To succeed within communism is to lose. To be successful in communism is to be mediocre. To be an inadequate,

## **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

manipulative, violent and oppressive leader is the means to control. Communism is a system of envy, and it is jealous of the one thing it can ever be, successful.

## Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality

### References

- Cohen, G., 1980. Karl Marx's theory of history: A defense. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 1978. *Politics & Society*, 9(3), pp.371-372. <http://doi.org10.1177/003232928000900305>.
- Cohen, G. A. (2013). "Complete Bullshit." In M. Otsuka (Ed.), *Finding oneself in the other* (pp. 112–132). Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press
- Frankfurt, H., 2005. *On Bullshit*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, pp.81 - 100.
- Fukuyama, F., 1995. Reflections on the End of History, Five years later. *History and Theory*, 34(2), p.27.
- Lenin, V., 1939. *Imperialism*. 1st ed. New York: International Publishers.
- Lipset, Seymour Martin. "Democracy and working-class authoritarianism." *American Sociological Review* (1959): 482-501.
- Marx, K., Lee, A. and Engels, F., 1946. *Essentials of Marx*. New York: Rand School Press.
- Orwell, G., 1977. *Down and out in Paris and London, 1984, Shooting an Elephant And Other Stories*. 1st ed. New York: Quality Paperback Book Club.
- Phillips, T., 2020a. *University bigots want to control minorities*. [online] [Thetimes.co.uk](https://www.thetimes.co.uk). Available at: <<https://www.thetimes.co.uk/edition/comment/university-bigots-want-to-control-minorities-j6jq5dfg5>> [Accessed 4 August 2020].
- Phillips, T., 2020b. *Woke warriors threaten our sense of Identity*. [online] [Thetimes.co.uk](https://www.thetimes.co.uk). Available at: <<https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/woke-warriors-threaten-our-sense-of-identity-96985xwlr>> [Accessed 4 August 2020].
- Sowell, Thomas. *Intellectuals and society*. Hachette UK, 2012.

## **Communism, envy, and the desire to promote Toxic equality**

Wallerstein, Immanuel Maurice. *Historical capitalism: with Capitalist civilisation*. Verso Trade, 2011.

Williams, H., 2000. The End of History in Hegel and Marx. *The Hegel-Marx Connection*, pp.198-216.